

# MATH 20510. Analysis in $\mathbb{R}^n$ III (accelerated)

Based on lectures by Prof. Donald Stull  
Notes taken by Andrew Hah

The University of Chicago – Spring 2025

Any proof or argument that has been filled in, expanded, or written out in detail by me is marked with a ■. All other material follows the lectures and any errors or omissions are entirely my own.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Measure and Integration</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Fourier Analysis</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Differential Forms</b>	<b>25</b>

# 1 Measure and Integration

**Definition 1.1.** A family of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *ring* if, for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

- (i)  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$
- (ii)  $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{A}$

**Definition 1.2.** A ring  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -*ring* if for any  $\{A_n\}_1^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\bigcup_1^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{A}.$$

**Definition 1.3.**  $\phi$  is a *set function* on a ring  $\mathcal{A}$  if for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\phi(A) \in [-\infty, \infty].$$

**Definition 1.4.** A set function  $\phi$  is *additive* if for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,

$$\phi(A \cup B) = \phi(A) + \phi(B).$$

**Definition 1.5.** A set function  $\phi$  is *countably additive* if for any  $\{A_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \forall i \neq j$ ,

$$\phi\left(\bigcup_1^n A_n\right) = \sum_1^n \phi(A_n).$$

In the last two we assume that there are no  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\phi(A) = -\infty, \phi(B) = \infty$ .

**Remark 1.6.** If  $\phi$  is an additive set function,

- (i)  $\phi(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are pairwise disjoint then  $\phi(\bigcup_1^n A_n) = \sum_1^n \phi(A_n)$ .
- (iii)  $\phi(A_1 \cup A_2) + \phi(A_1 \cap A_2) = \phi(A_1) + \phi(A_2)$ .
- (iv) If  $\phi$  is nonnegative and  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  then  $\phi(A_1) \leq \phi(A_2)$ .
- (v) If  $B \subseteq A$  and  $|\phi(B)| < \infty$  then  $\phi(A \setminus B) = \phi(A) - \phi(B)$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $\phi$  be a countably additive set function on a ring  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose  $\{A_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$  and  $A = \bigcup_1^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\phi(A_n) \rightarrow \phi(A)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Set  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $B_n = A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$ . Note

- (i)  $\{B_n\}$  is pairwise disjoint.
- (ii)  $A_n = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_n$ .
- (iii)  $A = \bigcup_1^\infty B_n$ .

Hence  $\phi(A_n) = \sum_1^n \phi(B_j)$ ,  $\phi(A) = \sum_1^\infty \phi(B_j)$  and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Definition 1.8.** An *interval*  $I = \{(a_i, b_i)\}_1^n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the set of points  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i$  or  $a_i < x_i \leq b_i$ , etc. where  $a_i \leq b_i$ .

Note.  $\emptyset$  is an interval.

**Definition 1.9.** If  $A$  is the union of a finite number of intervals, we say  $A$  is *elementary*.

We denote the set of elementary sets by  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Definition 1.10.** If  $I$  is an interval of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we define the volume of  $I$  by

$$\text{vol}(I) = \prod_i^n (b_i - a_i).$$

If  $A = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_k$  is elementary, and the intervals are disjoint, then

$$\text{vol}(A) = \sum_1^k \text{vol}(I_j).$$

**Remark 1.11.**

- (i)  $\mathcal{E}$  is a ring, but not a  $\sigma$ -ring.
- (ii) If  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $A$  can be written as a finite union of disjoint intervals.
- (iii) If  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $\text{vol}(A)$  is well-defined.
- (iv)  $\text{vol}$  is an additive set function on  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\text{vol} \geq 0$ .

**Definition 1.12.** A nonnegative set function  $\phi$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  is *regular* if  $\forall A \in \mathcal{E}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$  open  $G \in \mathcal{E}, G \supseteq A$  and closed  $F \in \mathcal{E}, F \subseteq A$ , such that

$$\phi(G) \leq \phi(A) + \varepsilon, \quad \phi(A) \leq \phi(F) + \varepsilon.$$

Note.  $\text{vol}$  is regular.

**Definition 1.13.** A *countable open cover* of  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a collection of open elementary sets  $\{A_n\}$  such that  $E \subseteq \bigcup_1^\infty A_n$ .

**Definition 1.14.** The *Lebesgue outer measure* of  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as

$$m^*(E) = \inf \sum_1^\infty \text{vol}(A_n).$$

where  $\inf$  is taken over all countable open covers of  $E$ .

**Remark 1.15.**

- (i)  $m^*(E)$  is well-defined.
- (ii)  $m^*(E) \geq 0$ .

(iii) If  $E_1 \subseteq E_2$  then  $m^*(E_1) \leq m^*(E_2)$ .

**Theorem 1.16.**

(i) If  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $m^*(A) = \text{vol}(A)$ .

(ii) If  $E = \bigcup_1^\infty E_n$  then  $m^*(E) \leq \sum_1^\infty m^*(E_n)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\text{vol}$  is regular,  $\exists$  open  $G \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $A \subseteq G$  and  $\text{vol}(G) \leq \text{vol}(A) + \epsilon$ . Since  $G \supseteq A$  and  $G \in \mathcal{E}$  is open,  $m^*(A) \leq \text{vol}(G) \leq \text{vol}(A) + \epsilon$ . There also  $\exists$  closed  $F \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $F \subseteq A$  and  $\text{vol}(A) \leq \text{vol}(F) + \epsilon$ . By definition,  $\exists$  collection  $\{A_n\}$  of open elementary sets such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup A_n$  and  $\sum_1^\infty \text{vol}(A_n) \leq m^*(A) + \epsilon$ . Since  $F \subseteq \bigcup A_n$  and  $F$  is compact,  $F \subseteq A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N$  from some  $N$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(A) &\leq \text{vol}(F) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \text{vol}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_N) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_1^N \text{vol}(A_n) + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_1^\infty \text{vol}(A_n) + \epsilon \\ &\leq m^*(A) + \epsilon + \epsilon \\ &= m^*(A) + 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary,  $m^*(A) = \text{vol}(A)$ . □

*Proof.* (ii) If  $m^*(E_n) = \infty$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we are done. Assume not. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists$  open cover of  $E_n$ ,  $\{A_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \text{vol}(A_{n,k}) \leq m^*(E_n) + \epsilon/2^n$$

Then  $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty A_{n,k}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(E) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^\infty \text{vol}(A_{n,k}) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty m^*(E_n) + \epsilon/2^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty m^*(E_n) + \sum_1^\infty \epsilon/2^n \\ &= \sum_1^\infty m^*(E_n) + \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Definition 1.17.** Let  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

- (i)  $A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .
- (ii)  $d(A, B) = m^*(A \Delta B)$ .
- (iii) We say  $A_n \rightarrow A$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(A_n, A) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.18.** If there is a sequence of elementary sets  $\{A_n\}$  such that  $A_n \rightarrow A$  then we say  $A$  is *finitely  $m$ -measurable* and we write  $A \in \mathfrak{M}_F(m)$ .

**Definition 1.19.** If  $A$  is the countable union of finitely  $m$ -measurable sets, we say that  $A$  is  *$m$ -measurable* (Lebesgue measurable) and we write  $A \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$ .

**Theorem 1.20.**  $\mathfrak{M}(m)$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring and  $m^*$  is countably additive on  $\mathfrak{M}(m)$ .

**Definition 1.21.** The *Lebesgue measure* is the set function defined on  $\mathfrak{M}(m)$  by

$$m(A) = m^*(A), \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{M}(m).$$

To summarize,

set function	domain	properties
vol	$\mathcal{E}$	$\geq 0$ , additive, $\mathcal{E}$ -regular
$m^*$	$\subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$	$\geq 0$ , $m^*(A) = \text{vol}(A) \forall A \in \mathcal{E}$ , countably subadditive
$m$	$\mathfrak{M}(m)$	$\geq 0$ , $m(E) = m^*(E) \forall E \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$ , countable additivity(!)

**Example 1.22.** Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (i) If  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  then  $A \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  since  $m^*(A \Delta A) = m^*(\emptyset) = 0 \implies A \rightarrow A$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbb{R}^n \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  since  $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} [-N, N]^n \implies m(\mathbb{R}^n) = \infty$ .
- (iii) If  $A \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  then  $A^c \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$ .
- (iv)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\{x\} \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  and  $m(\{x\}) = 0$ .
- (v)  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathfrak{M}(m)$  and  $m(\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = 0 \implies m(\mathbb{Q}^n) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.23.**  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$  is *measurable* if  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) > a\} \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $f^{-1}((a, \infty]) \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 1.24.**  $f$  continuous  $\implies f$  measurable.

**Theorem 1.25.** The following are equivalent,

- (i)  $\{x : f(x) > a\}$  is measurable  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii)  $\{x : f(x) \geq a\}$  is measurable  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iii)  $\{x : f(x) < a\}$  is measurable  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (iv)  $\{x : f(x) \leq a\}$  is measurable  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii).

$$\{x : f(x) \geq a\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{x : f(x) > a - \frac{1}{n}\right\}$$

□

**Theorem 1.26.** *If  $f$  is measurable then  $|f|$  is measurable.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\{x : |f(x)| < a\} \in \mathfrak{M}, \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\{x : |f(x)| < a\} = \{x : f(x) < a\} \cap \{x : f(x) > -a\}$$

□

**Theorem 1.27.** *Suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions. Define*

$$g = \sup_n f_n \quad \text{and} \quad h = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$$

*Then  $g, h$  are measurable.*

*Proof.*  $\{x : g(x) > a\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : f_n(x) > a\}$  implies  $g$  is measurable. Similarly,  $\inf_n f_n$  is measurable. Define  $g_n = \sup_{m \geq n} f_m$  and note that  $g_n$  is measurable for all  $n$ . Since  $h = \inf_n g_n$ ,  $h$  is measurable. □

**Corollary 1.28.** *If  $f, g$  are measurable then  $\max\{f, g\}$  and  $\min\{f, g\}$  are also measurable.*

**Corollary 1.29.** *Define  $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}$ ,  $f^- = -\min\{f, 0\}$ . Then if  $f$  is measurable,  $f^+, f^-$  are also measurable.*

**Corollary 1.30.** *If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions such that  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  pointwise, then  $f$  is measurable.*

**Theorem 1.31.**  *$f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  measurable,  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous, and  $h(x) = F(f(x), g(x))$ . Then  $h$  is measurable. In particular, this tells us that  $f + g$  and  $fg$  are measurable.*

**Definition 1.32.** A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *simple* if  $\text{range}(f)$  is a finite set.

**Example 1.33.** Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . The characteristic function of  $E$  is

$$\chi_E(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $f$  is simple, so  $\text{range}(f) = \{c_1, \dots, c_m\}$ . Let  $E_i = \{x : f(x) = c_i\}$ . Then

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^m \chi_{E_i} c_i$$

**Theorem 1.34.**  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . There exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of simple functions such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise.

- (i) If  $f$  is measurable,  $\{f_n\}$  can be chosen to be measurable.
- (ii) If  $f \geq 0$  then  $\{f_n\}$  can be chosen to be monotonically increasing.

*Proof.* If  $f \geq 0$ , define the sets

$$E_{n,i} = \left\{ x : \frac{i-1}{2^n} \leq f(x) < \frac{i}{2^n} \right\}, \quad n \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, n2^n$$

$$F_n = \{x \mid f(x) \geq n\}, \quad n \geq 1$$

Define

$$f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n2^n} \frac{i-1}{2^n} \chi_{E_{n,i}} + n \chi_{F_n}$$

We see that  $f_n$  is measurable. Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > f(x)$  and  $2^{-N} < \varepsilon$ . Let  $n \geq N$ . Note that  $x \in E_{n,i}$  for some  $i$ . Since  $f_n(x) = \frac{i-1}{2^n}$  and  $f(x) \geq f_n(x)$ ,  $f(x) - f_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^n} < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise. We now show  $\{f_n\}$  is monotonically increasing.

- (i) Case 1:  $x \in F_n$ . Then  $f(x) \geq n$  and  $f_n(x) = n$ . If  $x \in F_{n+1}$ , then  $f_{n+1}(x) = n+1 > n = f_n(x)$ . If  $x \notin F_{n+1}$  then  $x \in$  some  $E_{n+1,i}$ . Then  $\frac{i-1}{2^{n+1}} \geq n \implies f_{n+1}(x) \geq n = f_n(x)$ .
- (ii) Case 2:  $x \in E_{n,i}$  for some  $i$ . Then  $f_n(x) = \frac{i-1}{2^n}$ . Then there is some  $j$  such that  $x \in E_{n+1,j} = \{x : \frac{j-1}{2^{n+1}} \leq f(x) < \frac{j}{2^{n+1}}\}$ . Because  $\frac{i-1}{2^n} \leq f(x)$ , we have  $\frac{j-1}{2^{n+1}} \geq \frac{i-1}{2^n}$  so  $f_{n+1}(x) = \frac{j-1}{2^{n+1}} \geq \frac{i-1}{2^n} = f_n(x)$ .

Thus in both cases,  $\{f_n\}$  is monotonically increasing. We next consider the general case. Given  $f$ , we write  $f^+(x) = \max\{f(x), 0\}$  and  $f^- = -\min\{f(x), 0\}$  so that  $f = f^+ - f^-$  and  $f^+, f^- \geq 0$ . By the previous part, there exist two sequences of nonnegative measurable simple functions  $f_n^+ \rightarrow f^+$  and  $f_n^- \rightarrow f^-$  each converging pointwise. Define  $f_n(x) = f_n^+(x) - f_n^-(x)$ . Then  $f_n$  is simple and measurable since it is the difference of two simple measurable functions, and converges pointwise. ■

**Definition 1.35.** (Lebesgue Integration) Suppose  $g = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \chi_{E_i}$ ,  $c_i > 0$  is measurable and  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Define

$$I_E(g) = \sum_1^k c_i m(E_i \cap E)$$

Let  $f$  be a nonnegative measurable function,  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Define

$$\int_E f dm = \sup I_E(g)$$

where sup is taken over all measurable simple functions  $g$  such that  $0 \leq g \leq f$ .

**Remark 1.36.**

- (i)  $\int_E f dm$  is the Lebesgue integral of  $f$  over  $E$ .
- (ii) It can take value  $\infty$ .
- (iii) If  $f$  is measurable, simple, and nonnegative, then

$$\int_E f dm = I_E(f)$$

*Proof.* of remark (iii). Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists  $g$  simple, nonnegative, and measurable such that  $0 \leq g \leq f$  and  $I_E(g) > I_E(f)$ . Then

$$g = \sum_1^k c_i \chi_{E_i}, \quad f = \sum_1^k d_j \chi_{F_j}$$

and

$$I_E(g) = \sum_1^k c_i m(E_i \cap E) > I_E(f) = \sum_1^k d_j m(F_j \cap E)$$

Let  $H_{i,j} = E_i \cap F_j$ . Since  $g \leq f$ ,  $\forall i$ ,  $E_i \subseteq \bigcup F_j$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k c_i \chi_{E_i \cap F_j} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^M c_n \chi_{H_n} \end{aligned}$$

Note that for every  $n$ ,  $\exists$  unique  $F_j \supseteq H_n$ . This implies  $c_n \leq d_j$ , contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition 1.37.** Let  $f$  be measurable, and consider  $\int_E f^+ dm$  and  $\int_E f^- dm$ . If at least one is finite, define

$$\int_E f dm = \int_E f^+ dm - \int_E f^- dm$$

If both  $\int_E f^+ dm$  and  $\int_E f^- dm$  are finite, we say that  $f$  is *integrable* on  $E$  and write  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$ .

**Remark 1.38.**

- (i) If  $a \leq f(x) \leq b$  for all  $x \in E \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $m(E) < \infty$ , then  $am(E) \leq \int_E f dm \leq bm(E)$ .
- (ii) If  $f$  is bounded on  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $m(E) < \infty$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$ .
- (iii) If  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$  and  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ , then  $\int_E f dm \leq \int_E g dm$ .
- (iv) If  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $cf \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$  and  $\int_E cf dm = c \int_E f dm$ .
- (v) If  $m(E) = 0$  then  $\int_E f dm = 0$ .
- (vi) If  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $A \subseteq E$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $A$ .
- (vii) If  $f$  is Riemann integrable on  $[a, b]$  then  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $[a, b]$  and the values of the integrals agree.



*Proof.* of remark (i). Assume  $a \geq 0$ .  $\int_E f dm = \sup \int_E g dm$  where sup is taken over all simple measurable  $g$  such that  $0 \leq g \leq f$ . Let  $g = a$  on  $E$ . Then  $\int_E f dm \geq \int_E g dm = am(E)$ . Let  $g$  be a measurable simple function such that  $0 \leq g \leq f$ . Then  $g = \sum_1^k c_i \chi_{E_i}$  for distinct  $c_i$ 's and measurable  $E_i$  that are disjoint. Since  $g \leq f \leq b$ ,  $c_i \leq b$  for all  $i$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E g dm &= \sum_1^k c_i m(E_i \cap E) \\ &\leq b \sum_1^k m(E_i \cap E) \\ &\leq bm(E) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\int_E f dm \leq bm(E)$ . □

**Theorem 1.39.**

(i) Suppose  $f$  is nonnegative and measurable. For  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$  define

$$\phi(A) = \int_A f dm$$

Then  $\phi$  is countably additive on  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

(ii) The same conclusion holds if  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* To prove (ii), it suffices to apply (i) to  $f^+$  and  $f^-$ . Suppose  $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable sets which are pairwise disjoint. Let  $A = \bigcup A_n$ .

Step 1 (Characteristic functions). Suppose  $f = \chi_E$  for some  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A) &= \int_A f dm \\ &= m(A \cap E) \\ &= m\left(\left(\bigcup_1^\infty A_n\right) \cap E\right) \\ &= m\left(\bigcup_1^\infty (A_n \cap E)\right) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty m(A_n \cap E) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \int_{A_n} f dm \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_1^{\infty} \phi(A_n)$$

Step 2 (Simple functions). Suppose  $f$  is simple, measurable, and nonnegative, i.e.,  $f = \sum_1^k c_i \chi_{E_i}$  for disjoint  $E_i$ 's in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A) &= \int_A f dm \\ &= \sum_1^k c_i m(E_i \cap A) \\ &= \sum_1^k c_i \int_A \chi_{E_i} dm \\ &= \sum_1^k c_i \sum_1^{\infty} \int_{A_n} \chi_{E_i} dm \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} \sum_1^k \int_{A_n} c_i \chi_{E_i} dm \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} \int_{A_n} f dm \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} \phi(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Let  $g$  be a measurable simple function such that  $0 \leq g \leq f$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A g dm &= \sum_1^{\infty} \int_{A_n} g dm \\ &\leq \sum_1^{\infty} \int_{A_n} f dm \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} \phi(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\phi(A) = \int_A f dm \leq \sum_1^{\infty} \phi(A_n)$ .

If  $\phi(A_n) = \infty$  for any  $n$ , then we are done. Thus assume  $\phi(A_n) < \infty$  for every  $n$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and choose measurable simple  $g$  such that  $0 \leq g \leq f$  and  $\int_{A_1} g dm \geq \int_{A_1} f dm - \epsilon, \dots, \int_{A_n} g dm \geq \int_{A_n} f dm - \epsilon$ . Hence

$$\phi(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \geq \phi(A_1) + \dots + \phi(A_n) - n\epsilon$$

Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary,  $\forall n$ ,  $\phi(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \geq \phi(A_1) + \dots + \phi(A_n)$ . □

**Corollary 1.40.** If  $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $m(A \setminus B) = 0$ , and  $B \subseteq A$ , then

$$\int_A f dm = \int_B f dm$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{L}$ .

**Theorem 1.41.** If  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$ , then  $|f| \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$  and  $|\int_E f dm| \leq \int_E |f| dm$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{x \in E \mid f(x) \geq 0\}$  and  $B = \{x \in E \mid f(x) < 0\}$ . Note that  $E = A \sqcup B$  and  $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Then

$$\int_E |f| dm = \int_A |f| dm + \int_B |f| dm = \int_E f^+ dm + \int_E f^- dm < \infty$$

Thus  $|f| \in \mathcal{L}$ . Since  $f \leq |f|$  and  $-f \leq |f|$ ,  $\int_E f dm \leq \int_E |f| dm$ , and  $\int_E -f dm = -\int_E f dm \leq \int_E |f| dm$  so

$$\left| \int_E f dm \right| \leq \int_E |f| dm$$

□

**Theorem 1.42.** (Lebesgue's monotone convergence theorem). Let  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $\{f_n\}$  a sequence of measurable functions such that

$$0 \leq f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \leq \dots \quad \forall(x \in E)$$

Define  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . Then

$$\int_E f_n dm \rightarrow \int_E f dm \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

*Proof.* Since  $\{f_n\}$  is a monotone sequence of nonnegative measurable functions,  $\{\int_E f_n dm\}$  is a monotone sequence of extended real numbers. Thus there must exist  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$  such that  $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n dm$ . Since  $f_n \leq f$  for every  $n$ ,  $\alpha \leq \int_E f dm$ . Let  $0 < c < 1$  and  $g$  be a simple, measurable function such that  $0 \leq g \leq f$ . For every  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$E_n = \{x \in E \mid f_n(x) \geq cg(x)\}$$

Since  $\{f_n\}$  is increasing,  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ . Since  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise,  $E = \bigcup_1^\infty E_n$ . For every  $n$ ,  $cg \leq f_n$  on  $E_n$ , so

$$c \int_{E_n} g dm = \int_{E_n} cg dm \leq \int_{E_n} f_n dm$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\int_{E_n} g dm \rightarrow \int_E g dm$$

Therefore,  $\alpha \geq c \int_E g dm$ . Since  $c < 1$  was arbitrary,  $\alpha \geq \int_E g dm$ . By definition of integration,  $\alpha \geq \int_E f dm$ . □

**Theorem 1.43.** Let  $f = f_1 + f_2$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$  and  $\int_E f dm = \int_E f_1 dm + \int_E f_2 dm$ .

*Proof.* If  $f_1, f_2$  are simple measurable functions, then the conclusion is immediate. Assume that  $f_1, f_2 \geq 0$ . Choose a monotonically increasing sequence of nonnegative measurable simple functions  $\{g_n\}$  and  $\{h_n\}$  converging to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  respectively. Let  $s_n = g_n + h_n$ . Then  $\forall n$ ,

$$\int_E s_n dm = \int_E g_n dm + \int_E h_n dm$$

Note.  $\{s_n\}$  is a monotonically increasing sequence of simple nonnegative measurable functions converging to  $f$ . By the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\int_E f dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E s_n dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E g_n dm + \int_E h_n dm = \int_E f_1 dm + \int_E f_2 dm$$

Now assume  $f_1 \geq 0, f_2 < 0$ . Define

$$A = \{x \in E \mid f(x) \geq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{x \in E \mid f(x) < 0\}$$

Note that both  $A$  and  $B$  are measurable. Since  $f, f_1, -f_2 \geq 0$  on  $A$  and  $f_1 = f + (-f_2)$ ,

$$\int_A f_1 dm = \int_A f dm + \int_A -f_2 dm = \int_A f dm - \int_A f_2 dm$$

i.e.,  $\int_A f dm = \int_A f_1 dm + \int_A f_2 dm$ . Since  $-f, f_1, -f_2 \geq 0$  on  $B$ ,

$$\int_B -f_2 dm = \int_B -f dm + \int_B f_1 dm$$

i.e.,  $\int_B f dm = \int_B f_1 dm + \int_B f_2 dm$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E=A \cup B} f dm &= \int_A f dm + \int_B f dm \\ &= \int_A f_1 dm + \int_A f_2 dm + \int_B f_1 dm + \int_B f_2 dm \\ &= \int_E f_1 dm + \int_E f_2 dm \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$E_1 = \{x \in E \mid f_1(x) \geq 0, f_2(x) \geq 0\}$$

$$E_2 = \{x \in E \mid f_1(x) \geq 0, f_2(x) < 0\}$$

$$E_3 = \{x \in E \mid f_1(x) < 0, f_2(x) \geq 0\}$$

$$E_4 = \{x \in E \mid f_1(x) < 0, f_2(x) < 0\}$$

Apply what we've proven to all four sets and then we get the generalized conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.44.** (Fatou's lemma)  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\{f_n\}$  nonnegative measurable functions. Let  $f = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ . Then

$$\int_E f dm \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n dm$$

*Proof.* For every  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$g_n = \inf_{m \geq n} f_m$$

Note. the  $g_n$ 's are measurable on  $E$  and

- (i)  $0 \leq g_1 \leq g_2 \leq \dots$
- (ii)  $g_n \leq f_n, \forall n$ .
- (iii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = f(x), \forall x \in E$ .

By the monotone convergence theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E g_n dm = \int_E f dm$$

By property (ii),

$$\int_E g_n dm \leq \int_E f_n dm \quad \forall n$$

Together, these two imply the conclusion. □

**Theorem 1.45.** (Dominated convergence theorem) Suppose  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $\{f_n\}$  measurable on  $E$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise on  $E$ . Suppose  $\exists g \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . Then

$$\int_E f dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n dm$$

*Proof.* Note  $f_n \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$  for all  $n$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$ . Since  $f_n + g \geq 0$  for all  $n$ , applying Fatou's Lemma gives

$$\int_E (f + g) dm \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E (f_n + g) dm$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f dm + \int_E g dm &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_E f_n dm + \int_E g dm \right) \\ &= \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n dm \right) + \int_E g dm \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_E f dm \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n dm$$

Since  $g - f_n \geq 0$ , apply Fatou's Lemma to get

$$\int_E (g - f) dm \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_E (g - f_n) dm \right)$$

By the same logic as above, we see that

$$-\int_E f dm \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\int_E f_n dm$$

We conclude that

$$\int_E f \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n dm$$

Thus

$$\int_E f dm = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n dm$$

□

**Lemma 1.46.** *Nonmeasurable sets exist (assuming Axiom of Choice).*

*Proof.* For every  $a \in [-1, 1]$  define  $\tilde{a} = \{c \in [-1, 1] : a - c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ .

Claim 1. If  $\tilde{a} \cap \tilde{b} \neq \emptyset$  then  $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$ .

Suppose  $c \in \tilde{a} \cap \tilde{b}$ . Then  $a - c \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $b - c \in \mathbb{Q}$ , and therefore  $a - b, b - a \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $d \in \tilde{a}$ , so  $a - d \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $a - d = (a - b) + (b - d)$  so  $b - d \in \mathbb{Q}$ , i.e.,  $d \in \tilde{b}$  and the claim follows.

Note.  $[-1, 1] = \bigcup_{a \in [-1, 1]} \tilde{a}$ . Let  $V$  be a set that contains exactly one element from every distinct  $\tilde{a}$  (Axiom of Choice). Let  $r_1, r_2, \dots$  be an enumeration of  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [-2, 2]$ .

Claim 2.  $[-1, 1] \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} V + r_k$ .

Let  $d \in [-1, 1]$ , so  $d \in \tilde{a}$  for some  $a$ . Let  $c \in V$  s.t.  $c \in \tilde{a}$ . Then  $c - d \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [-2, 2]$  so  $c - d = r_k$  for some  $k$ . Hence,  $d \in V + r_k$ .

By Claim 2,

$$2 = m^*([-1, 1]) \leq m^*\left(\bigcup_1^{\infty} V + r_k\right) \leq \sum_1^{\infty} m^*(V + r_k) = \sum_1^{\infty} m^*(V)$$

Thus  $m^*(V) > 0$ .

Claim 3.  $V + r_1, V + r_2, \dots$  are disjoint.

Suppose that  $d \in (V + r_k) \cap (V + r_\ell)$ . Then  $d = v + r_k$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $d = v' + r_\ell$ ,  $v' \in V$ . In particular,  $v - v' \in \mathbb{Q}$ . By Claim 1,  $v, v' \in \tilde{a}$ . Contradiction.

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n V + r_k \subseteq [-3, 3]$$

Hence,

$$m^* \left( \bigcup_1^\infty V + r_k \right) \leq 6$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $nm^*(V) > 6$ . Then

$$m^* \left( \bigcup_1^n V + r_k \right) < \sum_1^n m^*(V + r_k)$$

Which implies that  $V + r_1, V + r_2, \dots$  cannot all be measurable. Hence,  $V$  is not measurable.  $\square$

**Definition 1.47.** Let  $E \in \mathfrak{M}$ ,  $f$  measurable. We write  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$  on  $E$  if

$$\int_E |f|^2 dm < \infty$$

**Remark 1.48.**  $f \in \mathcal{L}$  on  $E$  ( $\mathcal{L}^1$ ) if  $\int_E |f| dm < \infty$ .

**Example 1.49.**

- (i)  $E = (0, 1]$ ,  $f(x) = x^{-1/2}$ .  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ ,  $f \notin \mathcal{L}^2$ .
- (ii)  $E = (1, \infty)$ ,  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ .  $f \notin \mathcal{L}^1$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$ .

**Theorem 1.50.** If  $m(E) < \infty$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2 \implies f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ .

## 2 Fourier Analysis

Recall. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We can decompose  $f$  into its real and imaginary components,

$$f = f_{RE} + if_{IM}$$

where  $f_{RE}, f_{IM} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

We say  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  (Riemann integrable) if  $f_{RE}, f_{IM} \in \mathcal{R}$  and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{RE} dx + i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{IM} dx$$

**Definition 2.1.** A *trigonometric polynomial* is a function

$$f(x) = a_0 + \sum_1^N a_n \cos(nx) + b_n \sin(nx)$$

where  $a_0, \dots, a_N, b_1, \dots, b_N \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Note. Using Euler's formula, we can equivalently write this as

$$f(x) = \sum_{-N}^N c_n e^{inx}$$

where  $c_{-N}, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{C}$ .

We discuss  $2\pi$ -periodic functions defined on intervals  $[a, b]$  of length  $2\pi$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  on  $[a, a+2\pi]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The  $n$ -th *Fourier coefficient* of  $f$  is

$$\hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^{a+2\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx.$$

**Definition 2.3.** The *Fourier series* of  $f$  is given (formally) by

$$f \sim \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** The  $N$ -th *partial sum* of  $f$  is

$$s_N(f) = \sum_{-N}^N \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}.$$

Note. If  $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ ,  $e^{inx}$  is the derivative of  $\frac{e^{inx}}{in}$  (which is  $2\pi$ -periodic). Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^{a+2\pi} e^{inx} dx = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{cases}$$



**Example 2.5.** Suppose  $f(x) = \sum_{-N}^N c_n e^{inx}$ . Let  $|m| \leq N$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}(m) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-imx} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \sum_{-N}^N c_n e^{inx} \right) e^{-imx} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \sum_{-N}^N c_n e^{ix(n-m)} \right) dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{-N}^N c_n \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ix(n-m)} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} (c_m 2\pi) \\
 &= c_m.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note. If  $|m| > N$  then  $\hat{f}(m) = 0$ .

Hence,  $f(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx} = \sum_{-N}^N \hat{f}(n) e^{inx} = s_N(f)$ .

Question. In what sense does  $s_N(f) \rightarrow f$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ?

**Example 2.6.** Let  $f(x) = x$  on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ .

$$\hat{f}(0) = 0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x dx.$$

For  $n \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}(n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x e^{-inx} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{x e^{-inx}}{-in} \right]_{-\pi}^{\pi} + \frac{1}{2\pi in} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-inx} dx \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{in}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Fourier series of  $f$  is

$$\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{in} e^{inx} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{\sin(nx)}{n}.$$

In this example,  $s_N(f) \rightarrow f$  uniformly.

Overview.

- (i) Let  $f$  be (Riemann) integrable in  $[a, a + 2\pi]$ . Does  $s_N(f) \rightarrow f$  pointwise? NO
- (ii) What about if  $f$  is continuous (and periodic)? NO
- (iii) What if  $f \in C^1$  (and periodic)? YES

Motivating question. If  $f$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic, when can we prove that  $s_N(f) \rightarrow f$  pointwise (uniformly)?

**Theorem 2.7.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,  $f$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic,  $\hat{f}(n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $f(x) = 0 \forall x$  at which  $f$  is continuous.

**Corollary 2.8.** If  $f$  is continuous,  $2\pi$ -periodic, and  $\hat{f}(n) = 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $f = 0$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** If  $f, g$  are continuous,  $2\pi$ -periodic, and  $\hat{f}(n) = \hat{g}(n) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $f = g$ .

**Corollary 2.10.** Suppose  $f$  is continuous,  $2\pi$ -periodic, and the Fourier series of  $f$  converges absolutely, i.e.,

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)| < \infty.$$

Then  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N(f)(x) = f(x)$  uniformly.

*Proof.* Since  $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)| < \infty$ , the partial sums  $S_N(f)$  converge uniformly. Define

$$g(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) e^{inx} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-N}^N \hat{f}(n) e^{inx}.$$

Since  $g$  is the uniform limit of continuous functions,  $g$  is continuous. Moreover,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(x) e^{-inx} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(m) e^{imx} \right) e^{-inx} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(m) e^{ix(m-n)} \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \hat{f}(m) e^{ix(m-n)} dx \\ &= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \hat{f}(m) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{ix(m-n)} dx \\ &= \hat{f}(n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f = g$ . □

**Lemma 2.11.** *Suppose  $f$  is  $C^2$  and  $2\pi$ -periodic. Then  $\exists c > 0$  such that for all sufficiently large  $|n|$ ,*

$$|\hat{f}(n)| \leq \frac{c}{|n|^2},$$

*i.e.,  $|\hat{f}(n)| = O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)$ .*

*Proof.* By integration by parts (twice),

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi \hat{f}(n) &= \int_0^{2\pi} f(x)e^{-inx} dx \\ &= f(x) \left[ \frac{e^{inx}}{in} \right]_0^{2\pi} + \frac{1}{in} \int_0^{2\pi} f'(x)e^{-inx} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{in} \left[ -f'(x) \frac{e^{-inx}}{in} \right]_0^{2\pi} + \frac{1}{(in)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} f''(x)e^{-inx} dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{n^2} \int_0^{2\pi} f''(x)e^{-inx} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$2\pi \hat{f}(n) = \frac{1}{|n|^2} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f''(x)e^{-inx} dx \right|. \quad (1)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS of (1)} &\leq \frac{1}{|n|^2} \int_0^{2\pi} |f''(x)| |e^{-inx}| dx \\ &= \frac{1}{|n|^2} \int_0^{2\pi} |f''(x)| dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|n|^2} 2\pi c \end{aligned}$$

where  $c = \max_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |f''(x)|$ . Therefore,  $|\hat{f}(n)| \leq \frac{c}{|n|^2}$ . □

Note. We showed within the above proof that if  $f$  is  $C^1$ ,  $\hat{f}'(n) = in\hat{f}(n)$ .

Next question. If  $f$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic and  $\int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 dx$  exists, under what type of convergence does  $s_N(f) \rightarrow f$ ?

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $f$  be a complex valued,  $2\pi$ -periodic, (Riemann) integrable function. Then*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x) - s_N(f)(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

**Definition 2.13.** A *vector space* over  $\mathbb{C}$  is a set  $V$  of vectors, operations  $\cdot, +$  such that  $\forall x, y, z \in V, \forall \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

- (i)  $x + y \in V$ .
- (ii)  $x + y = y + x$ .
- (iii)  $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$ .
- (iv)  $\lambda_1 x \in V$ .
- (v)  $\lambda_1(x + y) = \lambda_1 x + \lambda_1 y$ .
- (vi)  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x = \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 x$ .
- (vii)  $\lambda_1(\lambda_2 x) = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)x$ .

In addition,  $\exists 0 \in V$  such that  $x + 0 = x \forall x$ .  $\forall x \in V, \exists (-x) \in V$  such that  $x + (-x) = 0$ .  $\exists 1 \in V$  such that  $1 \cdot x = x$ .

**Definition 2.14.** An *inner product* of a vector space  $V$  is a map  $(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

- (i)  $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)}$ .
- (ii)  $(\alpha x + \beta y, z) = \alpha(x, z) + \beta(y, z)$ .
- (iii)  $(x, \alpha y + \beta z) = \overline{\alpha}(x, y) + \overline{\beta}(x, z)$ .
- (iv)  $(x, x) \geq 0$ .

**Definition 2.15.** Given an inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , we can define a *norm* on  $V$ ,

$$\|x\| = (x, x)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

**Definition 2.16.** We say that  $x, y$  are *orthogonal* if  $(x, y) = 0$ , and we write  $x \perp y$ .

**Example 2.17.**  $V = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(x, y) = x\overline{y}$ .

**Example 2.18.**  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(x, y) = x \cdot y$ .

**Example 2.19.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the set of complex-valued,  $2\pi$ -periodic (Riemann) integrable functions. This is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- (i)  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$ .
- (ii)  $(\lambda f)(x) = \lambda f(x)$ .

Define the inner product

$$(f, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx$$

so the norm is

$$\|f\| = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Three properties. Let  $V$  be an inner product space.

(i) Pythagorean Theorem. If  $x \perp y$  then

$$\|x + y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2.$$

(ii) Cauchy-Schwarz. For any  $x, y \in V$ ,

$$|(x, y)| \leq \|x\| \|y\|.$$

(iii) Triangle inequality. For any  $x, y \in V$ ,

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|.$$

Notation. We will write  $e_n(x) = e^{inx}$ .

Observation. The family  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is *orthonormal*, i.e.,

$$(e_n, e_m) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So  $e_n \perp e_m$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $\|e_n\| = 1 \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover,  $\forall f \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (f, e_n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) \overline{e_n(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-inx} dx \\ &= \hat{f}(n). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} s_N(f) &= \sum_{-N}^N \hat{f}(n) e_n \\ &= \sum_{-N}^N (f, e_n) e_n. \end{aligned}$$

Note.  $\forall |m| \leq N$ ,

$$(f - s_N(f)) \perp e_m.$$

We see this since

$$\begin{aligned} (f - s_N(f), e_m) &= (f, e_m) - (s_N(f), e_m) \\ &= (f, e_m) - \sum_{-N}^N ((f, e_n) e_n, e_m) \\ &= (f, e_m) - \sum_{-N}^N (f, e_m) (e_n, e_m) \\ &= (f, e_m) - (f, e_m) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.20.** For every  $\{e_n\}_{-N}^N$ ,

$$(f - s_N(f)) \perp \sum_{-N}^N c_n e_n.$$

Then,  $f = f - s_N(f) + s_N(f)$ , so by the Pythagorean theorem,

$$\|f\|^2 = \|f - s_N(f)\|^2 + \|s_N(f)\|^2.$$

$s_N(f) = \sum_{-N}^N \hat{f}(n)e_n$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_N(f)\|^2 &= \sum_{-N}^N \|\hat{f}(n)e_n\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{-N}^N \|\hat{f}(n)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\|f\|^2 = \|f - s_N(f)\|^2 + \sum_{-N}^N \|\hat{f}(n)\|^2. \quad (2)$$

**Lemma 2.21.** (Best approximation)  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then

$$\|f - s_N(f)\| \leq \left\| f - \sum_{-N}^N c_n e_n \right\|$$

for any complex numbers  $\{c_n\}_{-N}^N$ .

**Theorem 2.22.** If  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |f - s_N(f)|^2 dx = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  be continuous. By (a version of) the Stone-Weierstrass theorem,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists$  trigonometric polynomial  $P$  such that  $|f(x) - P(x)| < \epsilon$ ,  $\forall x \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - P\| &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x) - P(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &< \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \epsilon^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M$  be the degree of  $P$ , i.e.,  $P = \sum_{-M}^M c_n e_n$ . By the best approximation lemma,  $\forall N \geq M$ ,

$$\|f - s_N(f)\| \leq \|f - P\| < \epsilon.$$

Hence,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists M$  such that  $\forall N \geq M$ ,  $\|f - s_N(f)\| < \epsilon$ . Now we drop the condition that  $f$  is continuous. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists$  continuous  $g$  such that

- (i)  $\sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |g(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in [0, 2\pi]} |f(x)| = B$ .
- (ii)  $\int_0^{2\pi} |f(x) - g(x)| dx < \epsilon^2$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - g\| &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x) - g(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x) - g(x)| |f(x) - g(x)| dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{B}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x) - g(x)| dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &< \left( \frac{B}{\pi} \epsilon^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{B}{\pi}} \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g$  is continuous,  $\exists$  trigonometric polynomial  $P$  such that  $\|g - P\| < \epsilon$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - P\| &\leq \|f - g\| + \|g - P\| \\ &< \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{B}{\pi}} + \epsilon \\ &= \epsilon \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{B}{\pi}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the best approximation lemma,  $\forall N \geq \deg(P)$ ,

$$\|f - s_N(f)\| < \epsilon \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{B}{\pi}} \right).$$

□

**Corollary 2.23.** (*Parseval's Identity*)  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then

$$\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 = \|f\|^2.$$

*Proof.* For every  $n$ ,  $\|f\|^2 \geq \sum_{-N}^N |\hat{f}(n)|^2$  by (2). By the previous theorem,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists M$  such that  $\forall N \geq M$ ,  $\|f - s_N(f)\| < \epsilon$ , so by (2) again,

$$\sum_{-N}^N |\hat{f}(n)|^2 \geq \|f\|^2 - \epsilon.$$

□

**Corollary 2.24.** (*Riemann-Lebesgue*)  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then

$$\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{f}(n)| = 0.$$



### 3 Differential Forms

Recall.  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open, partials  $D_1f, \dots, D_nf$ . If the partials are themselves differentiable then the second order derivatives of  $f$  are defined by

$$D_{ij}f = D_iD_jf, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n).$$

If these functions are continuous in  $E$ , we say  $f$  is  $C^2$  in  $E$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $f \in C^2$  in  $E$  then*

$$D_{ij}f = D_{ji}f, \quad \forall i, j.$$

**Definition 3.2.** If  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open,  $f$  is differentiable at  $x \in E$ , the determinant of (the linear operator)  $f'(x)$  is called the *Jacobian of  $f$  at  $x$*

$$J_f(x) = \det f'(x)$$

Notation. We may also use  $\frac{\partial(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$ ;  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = y_1, \dots, y_n$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . A  $k$ -cell in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is the set of points  $I^k = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_k)\}$  such that  $a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Suppose  $I^k$  is a  $k$ -cell in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $f : I^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous. For every  $j \leq k$ , let  $I^j$  be the restriction of  $I^k$  to the first  $j$  components.

Define  $g_k : I^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $g_k = f$ . Define  $g_{k-1} : I^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) = \int_{a_k}^{b_k} g_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_k$$

Since  $g_k$  is uniformly continuous on  $I^k$ ,  $g_{k-1}$  is (uniformly) continuous on  $I^{k-1}$ . Define  $g_{k-2} : I^{k-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_{k-2}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}) = \int_{a_{k-1}}^{b_{k-1}} g_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) dx_{k-1}$$

We can repeat this process, ultimately arriving at a number

$$g_0 = \int_{a_1}^{b_1} g_1(x_1) dx_1$$

We say  $g_0$  is the integral of  $f$  over  $I^k$  and we write

$$\int_{I^k} f(x) dx = g_0.$$

**Example 3.4.** Let  $I^2 = [1, 2] \times [0, 1]$ ,  $f(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1x_2^2$ . What is  $\int_{I^2} f dx$ ?

$$g_1(x_1) = \int_0^1 2x_1x_2^2 dx = \left[ \frac{2}{3}x_1x_2^3 \right]_0^1 = \frac{2}{3}x_1$$

$$\int_{I^2} f dx = g_0 = \int_1^2 g_1(x_1) dx_1 = \int_1^2 \frac{2}{3}x_1 dx_1 = \left[ \frac{1}{3}x_1^2 \right]_1^2 = 1$$

Question. Does this depend on the order of integration?

Answer. No (try the other direction in the example above).

**Definition 3.5.** If  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the *support* of  $f$  is the closure of the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : f(x) \neq 0\}$ .

If  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous with compact support, let  $I^k$  be any  $k$ -cell containing  $\text{supp}(f)$ . We define

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f dx = \int_{I^k} f dx$$

**Theorem 3.6.** (Change of variables) Let  $T$  be a 1-1,  $C^1$  mapping of  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Also assume  $J_T(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in E$ . If  $f$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with compact support that is contained in  $T(E)$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(T(x)) |J_T(x)| dx.$$

**Definition 3.7.** (Informal) A *differential 1-form* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is

- (i) An object which can be integrated on any curve in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (ii) A rule assigning a real number to every oriented line segment in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in a "suitable" way.

**Definition 3.8.** Let  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The *tangent space* to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  at  $p$  is  $T_p\mathbb{R}^n = \{(p, v) : v \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ .

Notation. If  $\alpha$  is a 1-form,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , write  $\alpha_p$  to denote the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $T_p\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\alpha_p(v)$  is the value  $\alpha$  assigns to the (oriented) line segment from  $p$  to  $p + v$ .

We require that  $\alpha_p$  is a linear functional  $\forall p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , that is

- (i)  $\alpha_p(tv) = t \cdot \alpha_p(v)$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall p, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (ii)  $\alpha_p(v + w) = \alpha_p(v) + \alpha_p(w)$ ,  $\forall p, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We denote the projection maps in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$ , where

$$dx_i(v) = dx_i(v_1, \dots, v_n) = v_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n$$

These form a basis for the set of linear functionals. Therefore, for any 1-form  $\alpha$ , its restriction  $\alpha_p$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_p &= A_1 dx_1 + A_2 dx_2 + \cdots + A_n dx_n \\ &= A_1(p) dx_1 + \cdots + A_n(p) dx_n\end{aligned}$$

Last requirement:  $A_i(p)$  must be sufficiently continuous with respect to  $p$ .

**Definition 3.9.** A *differential 1-form*  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a map from every tangent vector  $(p, v)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which can be expressed in the form

$$\alpha = f_1 dx_1 + \cdots + f_n dx_n$$

where  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^2$ .

**Example 3.10.**  $\alpha = y dx + dz$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $v = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha((p, v)) &= \alpha_p(v) \\ &= f_1(p) dx_1(v) + f_2(p) dx_2(v) + f_3(p) dx_3(v) \\ &= 2 \cdot 4 + 0 + 1 \cdot 6 \\ &= 14\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.11.** A *curve* (1-surface) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $C^1$ -mapping  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $\alpha = f_1 dx_1 + \cdots + f_n dx_n$  be a 1-form in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be  $C^1$ .

$$\int_{\gamma} \alpha = \int_a^b (f_1(\gamma(t)) \gamma'_1(t) + \cdots + f_n(\gamma(t)) \gamma'_n(t)) dt$$

**Example 3.13.**  $\alpha = x^2 dx_1 + dx_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .  $\gamma(t) = (t, t^2)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\gamma'_1(t) = 1$ ,  $\gamma'_2(t) = 2t$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\gamma} \alpha &= \int_0^1 (f_1(\gamma(t)) \gamma'_1(t) + f_2(\gamma(t)) \gamma'_2(t)) \\ &= \int_0^1 (t^2 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 2t) dt \\ &= \frac{4}{3}\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.14.** A *2-surface* is a  $C^1$  map  $\gamma : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 3.15.** (Informal) A 2-form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is

- (i) An object which can be integrated over any 2-surface.
- (ii) A rule which assigns a real number to every oriented parallelogram in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in a “suitable” way.

Specify an oriented parallelogram in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  based at  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by giving  $(v, w)$ . We want every 2-form  $\omega$  to satisfy the following for every  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- (i)  $\omega_p(tv_1, v_2) = \omega_p(v_1, tv_2) = t\omega_p(v_1, v_2)$ .
- (ii)  $\omega_p(v_1, v_2 + v_3) = \omega_p(v_1, v_2) + \omega_p(v_1, v_3)$  and  $\omega_p(v_1 + v_2, v_3) = \omega_p(v_1, v_3) + \omega_p(v_2, v_3)$ .
- (iii)  $\omega_p(v_1, v_2) = -\omega_p(v_2, v_1)$ .

Basic 2-forms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\forall v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

- (i)  $(dx_1 \wedge dx_2)(v, w) = \det \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & w_1 \\ v_2 & w_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (ii)  $(dx_1 \wedge dx_3)(v, w) = \det \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & w_1 \\ v_3 & w_3 \end{pmatrix}$ .
- (iii)  $(dx_i \wedge dx_j)(v, w) = \det \begin{pmatrix} v_i & w_i \\ v_j & w_j \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Remark 3.16.** If  $\omega_p$  satisfies (i) – (iii) then  $\omega_p$  can be expressed as

$$\omega_p = \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j}(p)(dx_i \wedge dx_j)$$

for constant  $A_{i,j}$ .

**Definition 3.17.** A 2-form in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a rule assigning a real number to each oriented parallelogram in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that can be written as

$$\omega = \sum_{i,j} f_{i,j}(dx_i \wedge dx_j)$$

where  $f_{i,j} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^2$ .

For any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\omega_p(v, w) = \sum_{i,j} f_{i,j}(p)(dx_i \wedge dx_j)(v, w).$$

**Example 3.18.**  $\omega$  is a 2-form in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= f_{1,1} \underbrace{(dx_1 \wedge dx_1)}_{=0} + f_{1,2}(dx_1 \wedge dx_2) + f_{2,1} \underbrace{(dx_2 \wedge dx_1)}_{=-(dx_1 \wedge dx_2)} + f_{2,2} \underbrace{(dx_2 \wedge dx_2)}_{=0} \\ &= (f_{1,2} - f_{2,1})(dx_1 \wedge dx_2) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that every 2-form in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be written as  $\omega = f(dx_1 \wedge dx_2)$  where  $f$  is  $C^2$ .

**Example 3.19.**  $\omega$  is a 2-form in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$\omega = f_1(dx_1 \wedge dx_2) + f_2(dx_1 \wedge dx_3) + f_3(dx_2 \wedge dx_3).$$

**Definition 3.20.** Let  $\gamma : I^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be  $C^1$ , and  $\omega = f_1(dx_1 \wedge dx_2) + f_2(dx_1 \wedge dx_3) + f_3(dx_2 \wedge dx_3)$  be a 2-form. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} \omega &= \int_{I^2} \omega_{\gamma(z)} \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_1}(z), \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_2}(z) \right) dz \\ &= \int_{I^2} f_1(\gamma(z))(dx_1 \wedge dx_2) \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_1}(z), \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_2}(z) \right) \\ &\quad + f_2(\gamma(z))(dx_1 \wedge dx_3) \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_1}(z), \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_2}(z) \right) + f_3(\gamma(z))(dx_2 \wedge dx_3) \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_1}(z), \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_2}(z) \right) dz \\ &= \int_{I^2} f_1(\gamma(z)) \det \begin{pmatrix} D_1\gamma_1(z) & D_2\gamma_1(z) \\ D_1\gamma_2(z) & D_2\gamma_2(z) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + f_2(\gamma(z)) \det \begin{pmatrix} D_1\gamma_1(z) & D_2\gamma_1(z) \\ D_1\gamma_3(z) & D_2\gamma_3(z) \end{pmatrix} + f_3(\gamma(z)) \det \begin{pmatrix} D_1\gamma_2(z) & D_2\gamma_2(z) \\ D_1\gamma_3(z) & D_2\gamma_3(z) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.21.** The integral of a 2-form  $\omega = \sum_{i,j} f_{i,j}(dx_i \wedge dx_j)$  over a 2-surface  $\gamma : [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  (which is  $C^1$ ) is

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = \int_a^b \left( \int_c^d \omega_{\gamma(t_1, t_2)} \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t_1}, \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t_2} \right) dt_2 \right) dt_1$$

**Definition 3.22.** A  $k$ -surface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $C^1$  map  $\gamma : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  where  $D$  is a  $k$ -cell.

**Definition 3.23.** (Informal) A  $k$ -form in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega$ , is a rule that assigns a real number to every oriented  $k$ -dimensional parallelepiped in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in a “suitable” way.

Specify a  $k$ -dimensional oriented parallelepiped in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  based at  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  by giving an ordered list of vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in T_p\mathbb{R}^n$ . We require that for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , a  $k$ -form  $\omega$  satisfies

- (i)  $\omega_p(v_1, \dots, tv_i, \dots, v_k) = t\omega_p(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_k)$ .
- (ii)  $\omega_p(v_1, \dots, v_i + w_i, \dots, v_k) = \omega_p(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_k) + \omega_p(v_1, \dots, w_i, \dots, v_k)$ .
- (iii)  $\omega_p(v_1, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_k) = -\omega_p(v_1, \dots, v_j, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_k)$ .

**Definition 3.24.** A *multi-index* of length  $k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a list  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  of  $k$  integers between 1 and  $n$ .

**Definition 3.25.** Let  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  be a multi-index. Then  $dx_I = dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$  is the  $k$ -form in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$dx_I(v^1, \dots, v^k) = \det \begin{pmatrix} v_{i_1}^1 & v_{i_1}^2 & \dots & v_{i_1}^k \\ v_{i_2}^1 & v_{i_2}^2 & \dots & v_{i_2}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{i_k}^1 & v_{i_k}^2 & \dots & v_{i_k}^k \end{pmatrix}$$

**Remark 3.26.**

- (i) If  $I$  contains a repeated index, then  $dx_I(v^1, \dots, v^k) = 0$ .
- (ii) For any  $I$ , if  $v^1, \dots, v^k$  contains a repeated vector, then  $dx_I(v^1, \dots, v^k) = 0$ .
- (iii) If  $J$  is obtained from  $I$  by swapping a single pair of indices, then  $dx_I(v^1, \dots, v^k) = -dx_J(v^1, \dots, v^k)$ .

**Definition 3.27.** A *differential  $k$ -form* in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\omega$ , is a rule assigning a real number to each oriented parallelepiped of the form

$$\omega = \sum_I f_I dx_I$$

where the sum is taken over all multi-indices  $I$  of length  $k$  and  $f_I : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^2$ . If  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $v^1, \dots, v^k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\omega_p(v^1, \dots, v^k) = \sum_I f_I(p) dx_I(v^1, \dots, v^k)$$

**Definition 3.28.** Let  $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $k$ -surface and  $\omega = \sum_I f_I dx_I$  be a  $k$ -form.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\phi \omega &= \int_D \omega_{\phi(u)} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_k} \right) du \\ &= \int_D \sum_I f_I(\phi(u)) dx_I \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u_k} \right) du \\ &= \int_D \sum_I f_I(\phi(u)) \frac{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})}{\partial(u_1, \dots, u_k)} du \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})}{\partial(u_1, \dots, u_k)}$  is the Jacobian of the map  $u_1, \dots, u_k \mapsto \phi_{i_1}(u), \dots, \phi_{i_k}(u)$ .

**Example 3.29.**  $\omega = xdy \wedge dz - ydx \wedge dz + zdx \wedge dy$  is a 2-form in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .  $\phi : [0, 3] \times [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $\phi(r, \theta) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, 5)$ .

**Definition 3.30.** If  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  is a multi-index and  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ , we say  $I$  is an *increasing multi-index*. We say that  $dx_I$  is a basic  $k$ -form.

**Remark 3.31.** Every  $k$ -form can be represented in terms of basic  $k$ -forms.

**Example 3.32.**  $dx_1 \wedge dx_5 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_2 = -dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_5$ .

**Example 3.33.**  $dx_1 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_5 \wedge dx_2 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \wedge dx_5$ .

**Definition 3.34.** If  $\omega = \sum_I a_I dx_I$  is a  $k$ -form, we can convert each multi-index  $I$  into an increasing multi-index  $J$ , and we say that

$$\omega = \sum_J b_J dx_J$$

is in *standard presentation*.

**Example 3.35.**

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= x_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_1 - x_2 dx_3 \wedge dx_2 + x_3 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \\ &= -x_1 dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + x_2 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + x_3 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \\ &= (1 - x_1) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + (x_2 + x_3) dx_2 \wedge dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

The last line is in standard presentation.

**Definition 3.36.** Suppose  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$  and  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$  are increasing multi-indices. The *product* of  $dx_I$  and  $dx_J$  is the  $(p + q)$ -form

$$dx_I \wedge dx_J = dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p} \wedge dx_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{j_q}.$$

Note. If  $I$  and  $J$  have an element in common,  $dx_I \wedge dx_J = 0$ .

Notation. If  $I$  and  $J$  have no elements in common, we denote the increasing  $(p + q)$  length multi-index obtained from rearranging the members of  $I \cup J$  in increasing order by  $[I, J]$ .

$$dx_I \wedge dx_J = (-1)^\alpha dx_{[I, J]}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the number of swaps needed to convert  $I \cup J$  into an increasing multi-index.

Suppose  $\omega, \lambda$  are  $p$  and  $q$ -forms respectively in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with standard representations

$$\omega = \sum_I b_I dx_I \quad \lambda = \sum_J c_J dx_J.$$

The product of  $\omega$  and  $\lambda$  is the  $(p + q)$ -form

$$\omega \wedge \lambda = \sum_{I, J} b_I c_J (dx_I \wedge dx_J).$$

**Remark 3.37.**

$$(i) \quad (\omega_1 + \omega_2) \wedge \lambda = (\omega_1 \wedge \lambda) + (\omega_2 \wedge \lambda)$$

- (ii)  $\omega \wedge (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) = (\omega \wedge \lambda_1) + (\omega \wedge \lambda_2)$
- (iii)  $(\omega \wedge \lambda) \wedge \sigma = \omega \wedge (\lambda \wedge \sigma)$

**Definition 3.38.** A 0-form is a  $C^1$  function.

Notation. The product of a 0-form  $f$  with a  $k$ -form  $\omega = \sum_I b_I dx_I$  is

$$f\omega = \omega f = \sum_I (fb_I) dx_I.$$

**Remark 3.39.**  $f(\omega \wedge \lambda) = f\omega \wedge \lambda = \omega \wedge f\lambda$ .

**Definition 3.40.** (Differentiation of  $k$ -forms) Operator which associates a  $(k + 1)$ -form,  $d\omega$ , to each  $k$ -form,  $\omega$ .

- (i) 0-forms in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} df &= D_1 f dx_1 + \cdots + D_n f dx_n \\ &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \cdots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

- (ii)  $k$ -forms in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\omega = \sum_I b_I dx_I$  be given in standard presentation.

$$d\omega = \sum_I (db_I) \wedge dx_I.$$

**Example 3.41.** Let  $\omega = \underbrace{x}_{f_1} dx + \underbrace{y^2}_{f_2} dz$  be a 1-form in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= (df_1) \wedge dx + (df_2) \wedge dz \\ &= (1dx + 0dy + 0dz) \wedge dx + (0dx + 2ydy + 0dz) \wedge dz \\ &= dx \wedge dx + 2ydy \wedge dz \\ &= 2ydy \wedge dz. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} d(d\omega) &= d(2ydy \wedge dz) \\ &= (df) \wedge (dy \wedge dz) \\ &= (2dy) \wedge (dy \wedge dz) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$